

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9919	
09/884,420	0	6/20/2001	Detlev Glittenberg	7393/71602		
22242 FITCH FV	7590 FN TABI	12/24/2002 N AND FLANNI	ERY	EXAMINER		
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600				BRUNSMAN, DAVID M		
CHICAGO,	CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1755	10	
				DATE MAILED: 12/24/2002	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/884,420	GLITTENBERG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David M Brunsman	1755					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 (October 2002 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	oly to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Application	on No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

^{*} Application/Control Number: 09/884,420

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant's response including amendment filed 16 October 2002 has been received. In view of the response made the rejections made in the previous office action are withdrawn.

Claims 5-8 remain withdrawn as nonelected without traverse.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6413372. The effective filing date of the material of example 1 being 20 April 1999.

The reference teaches a composition for papermaking, see Table 1, comprising a combination of cationic waxy maize starch and anionic waxy maize starch in powder form, which is then dispersed in water. The low zeta potential shown (e.g. +1.1mV) indicates a slight anionic demand of less than $100 \, u$ eq/L.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6413372, as applied above.

* Application/Control Number: 09/884,420

Art Unit: 1755

The difference between claim 3 and the prior art cited is the starch derivative used as the anionic starch. WO 99/64677 teaches that starch phosphate and maleic or succinic anhydride modified starches function equivalently in papermaking compositions. See page 7, lines 28-32. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the maleic or succinic anhydride modified starches for the starch phosphate of the primary reference because they are shown to be art-recognized equivalents.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M Brunsman whose telephone number is 703-308-3454. The examiner can normally be reached on M, W, F, Sa; 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/884,420

Art Unit: 1755

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

David M Brunsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

DMB December 20, 2002

,